

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/603,405	10/603,405 06/24/2003		Christ Pher Oriakhi	200300746-1	4185	
22879	7590	12/20/2004		EXAMINER		
		ARD COMPANY	MARCANTONI, PAUL D			
	,	.04 E. HARMONY R ROPERTY ADMINIS	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400				1755		

DATE MAILED: 12/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/603,405	ORIAKHI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Paul Marcantoni	1755
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to bly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed bys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9/20 2a) ■ This action is FINAL . 2b) ■ This action for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, p	rosecution as to the merits is
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-41 is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	or election requirement.	Evaminar
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ction is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applica ority documents have been receivau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ition No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summal Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/603,405

Art Unit: 1755

Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-11, in the reply filed on 9/20/04 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a and b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Bredt et al. (Pub. No. US 2001/0050031 A1), Cima et al. '380, Sachs et al. '055, Pryor '851, Ingrassia '730, or Ishikawa et al. (JP 04363808 abstract) alone or in view of Jang et al. or Popoola et al. (abstract only-Jrnl Materials Rsch 1992).

Bredt et al. teach a method of making a 3-dimensional object by mixing calcium aluminate (p.2, col.2, second to last paragraph), and a printing aid such as polyethylene glycol thus anticipating the instant invention. Even if not anticipated, overlapping

Application/Control Number: 10/603,405

Art Unit: 1755

ranges of amounts would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Cima et al. '380 and Sachs et al. '055 teach a process for making a 3-dimensional object by mixing a ceramic material or inorganic binder with a binder particulate such as polyvinyl alcohol thus anticipating the instant invention. Further, even if not anticipated, calcium aluminate is a ceramic material or inorganic powder known to one of ordinary skill in the art. Popoola et al. even teach that calcium aluminate is representative of a ceramic material (see abstract). It is the examiner's position that it would have been an obvious design choice to use calcium aluminate as the ceramic/inorganic powder because it is a ceramic or inorganic powder that would have been an obvious design choice and available to applicants at the time of their invention.

Pryor '851 teaches a method of making a 3-dimensional object by mixing calcium aluminate (see claim 24 in col.14) and polymer thus anticipating the instant invntion. Even if not anticipated, the use of a liquid printing aid such as a glycol would appear to be routinely done in the art and thus the usage in Pryor would have been an obvious design choice for one of ordinary skill in the art.

Ingrassia '730 teaches making a structure that has superposed layers of cement and teaches aluminous cement (e.g. calcium aluminate cement) is used and would appear to anticipate the instant invention. Even if not anticipated, the use of a polymeric binding aid for assistance in mixing or the use of polymers as surfactants and other conventional additives to cement is old in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/603,405

Art Unit: 1755

Ishikawa et al. (JP '808) teach a method of making a semiconductor composition that is applied in layers (thus multi-layers) comprising calcium aluminate and polymer thus anticipating the instant invention. Even if not anticipated, overlapping ranges of amounts would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Jang et al. '406 has been cited as a secondary reference in combination with the primary refrences because it is old in the art to add a pigment, dye or other type of colorant to a 3-D object or cement composition mainly for decorative or aesthetic purposes. It is old in the art to add a pigment to both.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as failing to set forth the subject matter which applicant(s) regard as their invention.

The term "predetermined" is indefinite in claims 1 (listed twice) and claim 2.

Deletion of this term is advised.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Marcantoni whose telephone number is 571-272-1373. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell, can be reached at 571-272-1362. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/603,405

Art Unit: 1755

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Paul Marcantoni Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1755